

# FORM 51-102F1

## ICON INDUSTRIES LIMITED

### MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS – JUNE 30, 2006

*The following management discussion and analysis (“MDA”) provides information on the activities of Icon Industries Limited (“Icon” or the “Company”) and should be read in conjunction with the unaudited financial statements and notes thereto for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and the annual audited financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2005. The interim financial statements have been prepared in Canadian dollars and in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles. Readers are cautioned that management’s discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements and that actual events may vary from management’s expectations.*

#### **Description of Business and Report Date**

The Company's principal business activity is the exploration and development of mineral properties. The Company currently has one exploration property in Manitoba referred to as the Beresford Lake Property, which includes the Mirage Claim, as further described herein.

Certain information disclosed in this discussion is derived from the Company’s unaudited financial statements for the six month period ended June 30, 2006 and from its audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005.

The following MDA is for the six month period ended June 30, 2006 (the “Current Period”) and includes relevant information up to August 28, 2006 (the “Report Date”).

#### **Overall Performance**

##### BERESFORD LAKE PROPERTY

The Company entered into an option agreement (the “Option”) with Stephen Stares, Michael Stares, and Wayne Reid, (collectively the “Optionors”) pursuant to which the Company can acquire a 100% interest in the Beresford Lake Property (the “Property”) near Bisset, Manitoba. To date, the Company has paid the Optionors \$32,000, issued 100,000 common shares of the Company with a value of \$30,000. In addition, in order to keep the Property in good standing under the terms of the Option, the Company is obligated over a two year period to pay the Optionors an aggregate of \$62,000 and issue in aggregate 100,000 common shares of the Company in equal stages. The Option also included a work commitment which the Company has met. The Property is subject to a 2% net smelter royalty (“NSR”) with the Company having the right to purchase 1% of the NSR for \$1,000,000 with a first right of refusal to the remaining 1%. The Option contains a provision for the acquisition of additional claim units in an area of interest surrounding the Property.

The Option also contains a provision that if the Optionors acquired the rights to the Mirage Claim, which is located in close proximity to the Property, the Company would be required to pay \$4,000 and issue an additional 50,000 common shares in order to have that claim included in the Property. During the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company settled a dispute concerning the acquisition of an option on the Mirage Claim by issuing 15,000 common shares valued at \$9,000 to Peter Dunlop and then paid \$4,000 and issued 50,000 common shares valued at \$13,000 to complete the acquisition of the option on the Mirage Claim.

Also during the year ended December 31, 2004 the Company entered into a letter of intent with San Gold Resources Corporation (“San Gold”) to acquire an option (the “MB4942 Option”) on mineral claim #MB4942 (the “Claim”) in NTS Area 52L/14 in Manitoba, paying San Gold \$10,000 and issuing 50,000 common shares with a value of \$16,000. In addition, in order to keep in good standing under the terms of the option and earn a 100% interest in the Claim, the Company was obligated i) to pay a total of \$200,000 and issue a total of 550,000 common shares to San Gold in stages over three years and ii) to spend a total of \$475,000 on exploration of the Claim in stages over four years. The Claim is subject to a 2% NSR in favour of San Gold with the Company having the right to purchase 1% of the NSR for \$1,000,000 with a first right of refusal to the remaining 1%. The acquisition of the Claim would allow all of the Company’s claims in the Beresford Lake area to form one contiguous group, as a portion of the Claim is situated between the Company’s Mirage Claim and the remaining claims that make up its Beresford Lake Property. The option is currently being renegotiated.

During the year ended December 2004, the Company paid \$9,016 to acquire six additional adjacent mineral claims to form part of the Property.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company recorded \$19,789 (2004 - \$29,178) as a recovery of costs in connection with the Manitoba Minerals Exploration Assistance Program, which refunds to the Company a portion of the exploration

expenses incurred on the Property.

### Exploration Update

The Company completed a 2005/2006 winter exploration program, consisting of one diamond drill hole totaling 650 meters, in the southeast region of Stormy Lake in the Rice Lake greenstone belt of southeastern Manitoba. The program was supervised by Andrew Tims, P. Geo. No significant Au values were returned. The Company is evaluating an exploration program for other, more promising areas of the Property.

The Company completed a 2004/2005 winter drilling program on the Property in the Rice Lake greenstone belt of southeastern Manitoba. The drilling program comprised the drilling of twelve drill holes totaling 2023 meters in several target areas on the property. The program was supervised by Eckart Buhmann, P. Geo. Drilling and surface exploration were concentrated in four target areas on the property, the Bidou Lake shear zone, the Stormy Lake shear zone, Owens trenches and the Mic prospect, all of which returned anomalous assays, with most drill holes containing at least one assay  $>1$  g/t Au. Results from individual target areas are summarized below.

The Bidou Lake shear zone has now been traced for nearly four kilometers across the southern portions of the property. This structure is a 100-120 m wide network of carbonate-sericite altered shear zones which is hosted in southern parts of the 500-900 m thick Bidou Lake gabbro sill. Previously tested mineralization in the structure occurs at the Mirage prospect, a set of pyrite-arsenopyrite bearing quartz-carbonate-tourmaline shear veins from which shallow drilling by Esso Minerals in the 1980's included intersections of 6.4 g/t Au over 5.93 m and 6.64 g/t Au over 4.82 m, and from which numerous channel samples grading  $> 10$  g/t Au have been obtained over 0.3-1 m wide intervals. The 2004 surface program identified several other prospects along the shear zone, including Oasis and Stormy Lake West, from which maximum grades in grab samples of 10.3 g/t Au and 26.22 g/t Au were obtained, respectively, from selective samples of mineralized material.

Six holes were completed along the Bidou Lake shear zone drilling during the winter program, which were concentrated along a 1 km strike length of the structure. The drilling tested the down dip and lateral extend of historical drilling results at the Mirage prospect, and areas from which anomalous samples had been obtained from in the Oasis showing to the east. The most significant drilling results were obtained where quartz-carbonate veinlets are developed within pyrite +/- arsenopyrite alteration. These include 2.7 g/t over 0.75 m in hole 05-OA-4, 2.12 g/t Au over 0.8 m in hole 05OA-05, and multiple anomalous assays between 0.1 and 0.9 g/t Au in these and the other holes. Two drill holes completed beneath the interpreted down dip easterly plunge of veins in the Mirage prospect (drill holes 04MR-1 and 04MR-2) intersected only anomalous values, indicating that the Mirage mineralization does not plunge into this area. Poor ice development on Stormy Lake prevented drill access to the Stormy Lake prospect area, 2.5 km southeast of the Mirage prospect, where surface mapping identified multiple veins with locally significant Au grades.

During geological mapping, the newly discovered Stormy Lake shear zone was identified along the eastern shore of Stormy Lake in the southeastern part of the property. This northerly trending structure is poorly exposed, and runs mainly beneath the lake, however exposed areas contain quartz veins with carbonate alteration that returned grab samples ranging from trace to 3.7 g/t Au. Drilling of one hole (05SC-11) from the lake shore tested the eastern part of this structure, intersecting 3.0 g/t Au over 1.0 m, within a broader interval of 0.6 g/t Au over 9.0 m within sericite-carbonate altered shear zone.

East of the Stormy Lake shear zone, the Owens trenches prospect yielded several anomalous surface grab samples in narrow quartz-carbonate-pyrite shear veins, with similar grades intersected in follow-up drilling (e.g. 2.1 g/t Au over 0.8 m in hole 05OA-5). Less continuity of veining and the restricted area of surface mineralization make this a low priority target for follow-up.

The fourth target area, the Mic prospect, is a sulphide-bearing shear zone developed along the northeastern contact of an ultramafic dyke in northern parts of the property. Drilling here by a previous operator in two holes completed in 2001 intersected and 11 m wide mineralized zone containing intervals of 5.83 g/t Au over 1.53 m and 6.04 g/t Au over 1.22 m (approximate true thickness). During the winter drilling program, four follow-up holes were completed here at 40 m stepouts along strike and down dip. Best intersections include 3.03 g/t Au over 1.95 m in hole 05MC-08, including 4.5 g/t Au over 1.0 m, 1.5 g/t over 1.15 m in hole 05MC-07, 1.44 g/t Au over 1.0 m and 1.8 g/t Au over 2.01 m 35 m further downhole in hole 05MC-09, 2.31 g/t Au over 1.1 m in hole 05MC-10. All intercepts in the Mic shear zone are at depths from surface of less than 70 m. These intercepts occur within a 6-20 m wide zone containing anomalous assays that range between 0.1 and 1 g/t Au. Mineralization is open at depth and laterally beneath areas of poor outcrop exposure to the northwest and southeast.

While no areas of high grade mineralization were intersected in the drilling program, management was encouraged by the widespread distribution of gold mineralization in prospects and drillholes from throughout the property. The style, continuity and presence of auriferous veining along the entire length of the Bidou Lake shear zone indicated that it remains highly prospective.

The content of the above property update was reviewed by David Rhys, P. Geo., a director of the Company and a qualified person as defined by National Instrument 43-101.

### Selected Annual Information

The following table sets out selected financial information for the Company which has been derived from the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003. These financial statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Canada and are in Canadian dollars.

As at December 31,	2005 (\$)	2004 (\$)	2003 (\$)
<b>Income Statement Data</b>			
Revenues	-	-	-
Loss before discontinued operations and extraordinary items	(33,862)	(233,574)	(137,351)
Net loss for the year	(33,862)	(233,574)	(137,351)
<b>Loss per common share outstanding - basic and diluted:</b>			
Loss before discontinued operations and extraordinary items	(0.01)	(0.04)	(0.03)
Net loss for the year	(0.01)	(0.04)	(0.03)
<b>Balance Sheet Data</b>			
Total assets	732,672	839,521	119,659
Total long-term liabilities	-	-	-
<b>Dividends</b>	-	-	-

### Factors That Affect the Comparability of the Annual Financial Data Disclosed Above

The Company is a resource exploration company. During Fiscal 2003, the Company entered into an option agreement entitling it to earn a one hundred percent (100%) interest in certain mining claim units referred to as the Beresford Lake Property as further described herein. During Fiscal 2004 the Company undertook a significant exploration program on the Beresford Lake Property, including a drilling program that commenced in December 2004. The increase in loss during Fiscal 2004, as compared to the loss in Fiscal 2003, represents an increase in most of the Company's cost categories primarily due to an increase in the Company's activities and an increase in stock-based compensation costs due to the options granted during 2004 and 2003 and vesting in 2004. The loss before income tax recovery in Fiscal 2005 was \$246,133, which is comparable to Fiscal 2004's loss of \$233,574. The net loss for Fiscal 2005 of \$33,862 includes an income tax recovery of \$212,271 related to the renouncing of flow-through expenditures to shareholders.

### Share Capital, Convertible Securities, Options, and Warrants

As at the Report Date, the Company had the following:

#### Share Capital

Authorized Share Capital: 100,000,000 common shares without par value  
 Issued Share Capital: 9,628,548 common shares with a stated value of \$10,755,136  
 Shares in escrow 15,000 common shares

#### Convertible securities

None

### Options

Options outstanding were:

Number of Options	Number of Options Exercisable	Exercise Price	Expiry Date
395,000	395,000	\$0.21	September 12, 2008
220,000	220,000	\$0.31	July 14, 2009
900,000	135,000	\$0.15	February 3, 2011
1,515,000	750,000		

In accordance with the terms of Icon's stock option plan (the "Plan"), incentive stock options vest at the rate of 15% per quarter commencing at the end of the first quarter after the date of grant. Pursuant to the policies of the TSX Venture Exchange, shares issued on exercise of options are restricted from trading during the four month period subsequent to the date of grant.

### Warrants

Warrants outstanding were:

Number of Warrants	Exercise Price	Expiry Date
700,000	\$0.50	December 9, 2006
1,250,000	\$0.20	December 30, 2006
1,950,000		

## **Results of Operations**

### Current Quarter

The loss for the three months ended June 30, 2006 (the "Current Quarter") was \$58,972, which represents a decrease in loss of \$21,226, compared to the loss of \$80,198 incurred during the three months ended June 30, 2005 (the "Prior Quarter"). Decreases in expenses during the Current Quarter, as compared to the Prior Quarter, occurred in accounting and audit (decrease of \$19,317), in consulting fees (decrease of \$7,600), in rent (decrease of \$6,589), and in travel and accommodation (decrease of \$8,823). These decreases reflect a decrease in overall corporate activity primarily due to less activity occurring on the Beresford Lake Property during the Current Quarter. The decrease in travel and accommodation represents primarily costs relating to the Company's participation in mining industry investor conferences in the Prior Quarter. Accounting and audit fees decreased in the Current Quarter, as compared to the Prior Quarter, due to the elimination of the monthly accounting fee paid to a director and a decrease in audit costs.

### Current Period

The loss for the six months ended June 30, 2006 (the "Current Period") was \$102,180, which represents a decrease in income of \$130,487 compared to the income of \$28,307 incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2005 (the "Prior Period"). Decreases in expenses during the Current Period, as compared to the Prior Period, occurred primarily in accounting and audit (decrease of \$30,817), in consulting fees (decrease of \$11,100), in office and administration (decrease of \$8,672), and in travel and

accommodation (decrease of \$17,626). These decreases reflect a decrease in overall corporate activity primarily due to less activity occurring on the Beresford Lake Property during the Current Period. However, the Company recorded an income tax recovery of \$183,840 in the Prior Period after renouncing flow-through expenditures for Canadian tax purposes in connection with the Company's resource property resulting in the recovery.

### Summary of Quarterly Results

Results for the eight most recent quarters ending with the last quarter for the three months ending on June 30, 2006 are:

	For the Three Months Ending							
	Fiscal 2006		Fiscal 2005				Fiscal 2004	
	June 30	Mar. 31	Dec. 31	Sept 30	June 30	Mar. 31	Dec. 31	Sep. 30
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
<b>Income Statement Data<sup>(1)</sup></b>								
Total revenues	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loss before discontinued operations and extraordinary items	(58,972)	(43,208)	(28,903)	(33,266)	(80,198)	108,505	(75,843)	(44,715)
Net loss	(58,972)	(43,208)	(28,903)	(33,266)	(80,198)	108,505	(75,843)	(44,715)
<b>Income (loss) per common share outstanding – basic<sup>(1)</sup></b>								
Income (loss) before discontinued operations and extraordinary items	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	0.02	(0.01)	(0.01)
Net income (loss) per share	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	0.02	(0.01)	(0.01)
<b>Income (loss) per common share outstanding – diluted<sup>(1)</sup></b>								
Income (loss) before discontinued operations and extraordinary items	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	0.01	(0.01)	(0.01)
Net income (loss) per share	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	0.01	(0.01)	(0.01)

(1) The financial data presented above is derived from the Company's financial statements, which are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Canada and in Canadian dollars.

### Factors That Affect the Comparability of the Quarterly Financial Data Disclosed Above

The income for the quarter ended March 31, 2005 of \$108,505 represents income from the recognition of an income tax recovery of \$183,340 which was partially offset by expenses incurred during the quarter. The loss for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 reflected a comparable amount of expenses to the prior two quarters. The losses for the quarters ended September 30, 2005, December 31, 2005, March 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006 were down from previous quarters because activity on the Beresford Lake Property was down.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

As at June 30, 2006, the Company had a cash balance of \$61,832 compared to \$17,019 as at December 31, 2005. The Company had a working capital deficiency as at June 30, 2006 of \$113,062, compared to a deficiency of \$166,788 as at December 31, 2005.

### Current Period

The Company's cash balance increased by \$44,813 during the Current Period as compared to an decrease in cash of \$183,212 during the Prior Period. Cash flows used in operating activities during the Current Period were \$37,389 compared to cash used of \$1,122 during the Prior Period. Expenditures on mineral properties decreased from \$184,190 in the Prior Period to \$42,798 in the Current Period. Cash provided by financing activities increased from \$2,600 to \$125,000 due to the receipt of share subscriptions

that were receivable at December 31, 2005.

### Requirement for Additional Financing

The Company is in the mineral exploration and development business and has incurred losses since its inception. The Company has no revenue generating operations and has funded its operations primarily through the issue of capital stock. The Company may be required to raise additional funds in the future in order to explore and develop its mineral properties, to acquire additional exploration properties, or to acquire and develop other business opportunities. However, there can be no assurances that the Company will be able to secure acceptable financing to conduct such activities.

### Related Party Transactions

Transactions with related parties during the Current Period not elsewhere disclosed herein are as follows:

Type of transaction	Nature of relationship to the Company	2006	2005
Accounting fees	To William Harper, a director	\$ -	\$ 15,000
Legal fees expensed	To Gordon J. Fretwell Law Corporation, a law firm controlled by a director	5,132	2,000
Management fees	To TBC Investments Ltd., a company controlled by T. Barry Coughlan, an officer and director	30,000	30,000
Geological consulting fees and expenses	To Orequest Consultants Ltd., a company controlled by George Cavey, a director	-	754

Included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities as at June 30, 2006 is \$127,645 (December 31, 2005-\$117,313) owing to related parties as follows:

Owed to:	Nature of relationship	2006	2005
TBC Investments Ltd.	A company controlled by T. Barry Coughlan, an officer and director	\$ 118,925	\$ 109,325
William Harper	A director	2,675	2,675
Orequest Consultants Ltd.	A company controlled by George Cavey, a director	-	268
Gordon J. Fretwell Law Corporation	A law firm controlled by an officer and director	6,045	5,045
		\$ 127,645	\$ 117,313

Amounts owing to related parties are non-interest bearing and have no specific terms of repayment.

Included in receivables at June 30, 2006 is \$3,481 (December 31, 2005 - \$3,281) owing from companies with common directors.

### Financial instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash, receivables and accounts payable and accrued liabilities. The fair value of cash, receivables and accounts payable and accrued liabilities are assumed to approximate their carrying amounts because of their short term to maturity. The Company is exposed to credit risk to the extent that its debtors may be unable to repay amounts owing to the Company.

### Outlook

The Company continues to plan an exploration program on the Beresford Lake Property for Fiscal 2006. The Company is also

looking to acquire additional mineral exploration properties.

**Other**

Subsequent events

None.

Investor relations

The Company handles its investor relations activities internally by assigning various duties to its officers and directors.

**Additional Information**

Additional information is available concerning the Company and its operations on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com). Additional financial information concerning the Company is provided in its audited comparative financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005. Copies of this information are available by contacting the Company at its offices located at 1030 – 789 West Pender St., Vancouver, BC, V6C 1H2; phone 604-685-8666; fax 604-685-8677.

**Directors and Officers**

The Company’s directors and officers as at the Report Date are:

Directors:

T. Barry Coughlan  
William S. Harper  
George Cavey  
David Rhys  
Gordon J. Fretwell

Officers:

T. Barry Coughlan – President & Chief Executive Officer  
T. R. Wilson - CFO  
Tracy Hansen - Secretary

**Board Approval**

The contents of this management discussion and analysis have been approved and its mailing has been authorized by the board of directors of the Company.

*ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS*

*“T. Barry Coughlan”  
T. BARRY COUGHLAN, PRESIDENT*